
Letters of Appearance and Administrative Protective Orders

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.103(d), the Department will maintain and make available a public service list for these proceedings. Parties wishing to participate in any of these five-year reviews must file letters of appearance as discussed at 19 CFR 351.103(d). To facilitate the timely preparation of the public service list, it is requested that those seeking recognition as interested parties to a proceeding submit an entry of appearance within 10 days of the publication of the Notice of Initiation.

Because deadlines in Sunset Reviews can be very short, we urge interested parties who want access to proprietary information under administrative protective order (“APO”) to file an APO application immediately following publication in the Federal Register of this notice of initiation. The Department’s regulations on submission of proprietary information and eligibility to receive access to business proprietary information under APO can be found at 19 CFR 351.304–306.

Information Required From Interested Parties

Domestic interested parties, as defined in section 771(9)(C), (D), (E), (F), and (G) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.103(b), wishing to participate in a Sunset Review must respond not later than 15 days after the date of publication in the Federal Register of this notice of initiation by filing a notice of intent to participate. The required contents of the notice of intent to participate are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(ii). In accordance with the Department’s regulations, if we do not receive a notice of intent to participate from at least one domestic interested party by the 15-day deadline, the Department will automatically revoke the order without further review.

If we receive an order-specific notice of intent to participate from a domestic interested party, the Department’s regulations provide that all parties wishing to participate in a Sunset Review must file complete substantive responses not later than 30 days after the date of publication in the Federal Register of this notice of initiation. The required contents of a substantive response, on an order-specific basis, are set forth at 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3). Note that certain information requirements differ for respondent and domestic parties. Also, note that the Department’s information requirements are distinct from the Commission’s information requirements. Consult the Department’s regulations for information regarding the Department’s conduct of Sunset Reviews. Consult the Department’s regulations at 19 CFR part 351 for definitions of terms and for other general information concerning antidumping and countervailing duty proceedings at the Department.

This notice of initiation is being published in accordance with section 751(c) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(c).


James Maeder,
Senior Director, Office I for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.
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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.


Background

Each year during the anniversary month of the publication of an antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation, an interested party, as defined in section 771(9) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), may request, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213, that the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) conduct an administrative review of that antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

All deadlines for the submission of comments or actions by the Department discussed below refer to the number of calendar days from the applicable starting date.

Respondent Selection

In the event the Department limits the number of respondents for individual examination for administrative reviews initiated pursuant to requests made for the orders identified below, the Department intends to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection (“CBP”) data for U.S. imports during the period of review. We intend to release the CBP data under Administrative Protective Order (“APO”) to all parties having an APO within five days of publication of the initiation notice and to make our decision regarding respondent selection within 21 days of publication of the initiation Federal Register notice. Therefore, we encourage all parties interested in commenting on respondent selection to submit their APO applications on the date of publication of the initiation notice, or as soon thereafter as possible. The Department invites comments regarding the CBP data and respondent selection within five days of placement of the CBP data on the record of the review.

In the event the Department decides it is necessary to limit individual examination of respondents and conduct respondent selection under section 777A(c)(2) of the Act:

- In general, the Department finds that determinations concerning whether particular companies should be “collapsed” (i.e., treated as a single entity for purposes of calculating antidumping duty rates) require a substantial amount of detailed information and analysis, which often require follow-up questions and analysis. Accordingly, the Department will not conduct collapsing analyses at the respondent selection phase of this review and will not collapse companies at the respondent selection phase unless there has been a determination to collapse certain companies in a previous segment of this antidumping proceeding (i.e., investigation, administrative review, new shipper review or changed circumstances review). For any company subject to this review, if the Department determined, or continued to treat, that company as collapsed with others, the Department will assume that such companies continue to operate in the same manner and will collapse them for respondent selection purposes. Otherwise, the Department will not collapse companies for purposes of respondent selection.
- Parties are requested to (a) identify which companies subject to review previously were collapsed, and (b)
provide a citation to the proceeding in which they were collapsed. Further, if companies are requested to complete the Quantity and Value Questionnaire for purposes of respondent selection, in general each company must report volume and value data separately for itself. Parties should not include data for any other party, even if they believe they should be treated as a single entity with that other party. If a company was collapsed with another company or companies in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding where the Department considered collapsing that entity, complete quantity and value data for that collapsed entity must be submitted.

### Deadline for Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), a party that requests a review may withdraw that request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The regulation provides that the Department may extend this time if it is reasonable to do so. In order to provide parties additional certainty with respect to when the Department will exercise its discretion to extend this 90-day deadline, interested parties are advised that, with regard to reviews requested on the basis of anniversary months on or after October 2015, the Department does not intend to extend the 90-day deadline unless the requestor demonstrates that an extraordinary circumstance prevented it from submitting a timely withdrawal request. Determinations by the Department to extend the 90-day deadline will be made on a case-by-case basis.

The Department is providing this notice on its Web site, as well as in its “Opportunity to Request Administrative Review” notices, so that interested parties will be aware of the manner in which the Department intends to exercise its discretion in the future.

### Opportunity to Request a Review: Not later than the last day of October 2015, interested parties may request administrative review of the following orders, findings, or suspended investigations, with anniversary dates in October for the following periods:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antidumping Duty Proceedings</th>
<th>Period of review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRAZIL: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod, A–351–832</td>
<td>10/1/14–9/30/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDONESIA: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod, A–560–815</td>
<td>10/1/14–9/30/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITALY: Pressure Sensitive Plastic Tape, A–475–059</td>
<td>10/1/14–9/30/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEXICO: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod, A–201–830</td>
<td>10/1/14–9/30/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOLDOVA: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod, A–841–805</td>
<td>10/1/14–9/30/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THE PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: Barium Carbonate, A–570–880</td>
<td>10/1/14–9/30/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THE PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: Barium Chloride, A–570–007</td>
<td>10/1/14–9/30/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THE PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: Electrolytic Manganese Dioxide, A–570–919</td>
<td>10/1/14–9/30/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THE PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: Polyvinyl Alcohol, A–570–879</td>
<td>10/1/14–9/30/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRINIDAD AND TOBAGO: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod, A–274–804</td>
<td>10/1/14–9/30/15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countervailing Duty Proceedings</th>
<th>Period of review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BRAZIL: Carbon and Certain Alloy Steel Wire Rod, C–351–833</td>
<td>1/1/14–12/31/14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRAN: Roasted In Shell Pistachios, C–507–601</td>
<td>1/1/14–12/31/14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suspension Agreements</th>
<th>Period of review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RUSSIA: Uranium, A–821–802</td>
<td>10/1/14–9/30/15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), an interested party as defined by section 771(9) of the Act may request in writing that the Secretary conduct an administrative review. For both antidumping and countervailing duty reviews, the interested party must specify the individual producers or exporters covered by an antidumping finding or an antidumping or countervailing duty order or suspension agreement for which it is requesting a review. In addition, a domestic interested party or an interested party described in section 771(9)(B) of the Act must state why it desires the Secretary to review those particular producers or exporters. If the interested party intends for the Secretary to review sales of merchandise by an exporter (or a producer if that producer also exports merchandise from other suppliers) which was produced in more than one country of origin and each country of origin is subject to a separate order, then the interested party must state specifically, on an order-by-order basis, which exporter(s) the request is intended to cover.

Note that, for any party the Department was unable to locate in prior segments, the Department will not accept a request for an administrative review of that party absent new information as to the party’s location. Moreover, if the interested party who files a request for review is unable to locate the producer or exporter for which it requested the review, the interested party must provide an explanation of the attempts it made to locate the producer or exporter at the same time it files its request for review, in order for the Secretary to determine if the interested party’s attempts were reasonable, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.303(f)(3)(ii).

As explained in Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003), and Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011) the Department clarified its practice with respect to the collection of final antidumping duties on imports of merchandise where intermediate firms are involved. The public should be aware of this clarification in determining whether to request an administrative review of merchandise subject to antidumping findings and orders.

Further, as explained in Antidumping Proceedings: Announcement of Change...
in Department Practice for Respondent Selection in Antidumping Duty Proceedings and Conditional Review of the Nonmarket Economy Entity in NME Antidumping Duty Proceedings, 78 FR 65963 (November 4, 2013), the Department clarified its practice with regard to the conditional review of the non-market economy (NME) entity in administrative reviews of antidumping duty orders. The Department will no longer consider the NME entity as an exporter conditionally subject to administrative reviews. Accordingly, the NME entity will not be under review unless the Department specifically receives a request for, or self-initiates, a review of the NME entity.\(^3\) In administrative reviews of antidumping duty orders on merchandise from NME countries where a review of the NME entity has not been initiated, but where an individual exporter for which a review was initiated does not qualify for a separate rate, the Department will issue a final decision indicating that the company in question is part of the NME entity. However, in that situation, because no review of the NME entity was conducted, the NME entity’s entries were not subject to the review and the rate for the NME entity is not subject to change as a result of that review (although the rate for the individual exporter may change as a function of the finding that the exporter is part of the NME entity).

Following initiation of an antidumping administrative review when there is no review requested of the NME entity, the Department will instruct CBP to liquidate entries for all exporters not named in the initiation notice, including those that were suspended at the NME entity rate. All requests must be filed electronically in Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (“ACCESS”) on Enforcement and Compliance’s ACCESS Web site at http://access.trade.gov.\(^4\) Further, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f)(i)(i), a copy of each request must be served on the petitioner and each exporter or producer specified in the request.

The Department will publish in the Federal Register a notice of “Initiation of Administrative Review of

\(^3\) In accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b)(11), parties should specify that they are requesting a review of entries from exporters comprising the entity, and to the extent possible, include the names of such exporters in their request.


Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation” for requests received by the last day of October 2015. If the Department does not receive, by the last day of October 2015, a request for review of entries covered by an order, finding, or suspended investigation listed in this notice and for the period identified above, the Department will instruct CBP to assess antidumping or countervailing duties on those entries at a rate equal to the cash deposit of (or bond for) estimated antidumping or countervailing duties required on those entries at the time of entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption and to continue to collect the cash deposit previously ordered.

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures “gap” period of the order, if such a gap period is applicable to the period of review.

This notice is not required by statute but is published as a service to the international trading community.


James Maeder,
Senior Director, Office I for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.
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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
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Smart Cities Infrastructure Business Development Mission to India
February 8–12, 2016.

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The United States Department of Commerce, International Trade Administration, is amending the Notice published at 80 FR 46243 (August 4, 2015), regarding the executive-led Smart Cities Infrastructure Business Development Mission to India, February 8–12, 2016, to extend the date of the application deadline from November 10, 2015 to the new deadline of November 20, 2015 and to specify that Deputy Secretary Bruce Andrews will be the executive lead.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION: Amendments to Revise the Dates and Executive Leadership.

Background

The executive lead for this mission will be Deputy Secretary of Commerce, Bruce Andrews. Due to this leadership update, it has been determined that additional time is needed to allow for additional recruitment and marketing in support of the Mission. Applications will now be accepted through November 20, 2015 (and after that date if space remains and scheduling constraints permit). Interested U.S. companies and trade associations/organizations providing infrastructure goods and services which have not already submitted an application are encouraged to do so.

We will be conducting our vetting process at different intervals before November 20, 2015, as applications are received they may be viewed prior to the November 20 deadline.

The applicants selected will be notified by December 4, 2015.

Contact Information


Frank Spector, Director (A), Trade Missions.
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DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
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Background

Every five years, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (“the Act”), the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) and the International Trade Commission automatically initiate and conduct a review to determine whether revocation of a countervailing or antidumping duty order or termination of an investigation suspended under section 704 or 734 of the Act would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping or a countervailable subsidy (as the case may be) and of material injury.