

Advisory Committee (Committee) to the Commission will convene by conference call at 11:00 a.m. Mountain Time (10:00 p.m. Pacific Time) on Tuesday, November 18, 2014. The purpose of the meeting is for the Committee to discuss project proposals on disability and equal opportunity and equal education opportunity.

This meeting is available to the public through the following toll-free call-in number: 877-446-3914, conference ID: 4973966. Any interested member of the public may call this number and listen to the meeting. Callers can expect to incur charges for calls they initiate over wireless lines, and the Commission will not refund any incurred charges. Callers will incur no charge for calls they initiate over land-line connections to the toll-free telephone number. Persons with hearing impairments may also follow the proceedings by first calling the Federal Relay Service at 1-800-977-8339 and providing the Service with the conference call number and conference ID number.

Members of the public are entitled to submit written comments. The comments must be received in the regional office by December 18, 2014. The address is U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, Western Regional Office, 300 North Los Angeles St., Suite 2010, Los Angeles, CA, 90012. Comments may be emailed to atrevino@usccr.gov.

Records generated from this meeting may be inspected and reproduced at the Western Regional Office, as they become available, both before and after the meeting. Persons interested in the work of this advisory committee are advised to go to the Commission's Web site, www.usccr.gov, or to contact the Western Regional Office at the above email or street address.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission and FACA.

Dated October 23, 2014.

David Mussatt,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit.

[FR Doc. 2014-25823 Filed 10-29-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-P

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the Oregon Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights (Commission) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA) that a meeting of the Oregon Advisory Committee (Committee) to the

Commission will convene by conference call at 1:00 p.m. Pacific Time on Thursday, November 20, 2014. The purpose of the meeting is for the Committee to discuss its report on civil rights issues in Oregon.

This meeting is available to the public through the following toll-free call-in number: 877-446-3914, conference ID: 6281269. Any interested member of the public may call this number and listen to the meeting. Callers can expect to incur charges for calls they initiate over wireless lines, and the Commission will not refund any incurred charges. Callers will incur no charge for calls they initiate over land-line connections to the toll-free telephone number. Persons with hearing impairments may also follow the proceedings by first calling the Federal Relay Service at 1-800-977-8339 and providing the Service with the conference call number and conference ID number.

Members of the public are entitled to submit written comments. The comments must be received in the regional office by December 20, 2014. The address is U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, Western Regional Office, 300 North Los Angeles St., Suite 2010, Los Angeles, CA, 90012. Comments may be emailed to atrevino@usccr.gov.

Records generated from this meeting may be inspected and reproduced at the Western Regional Office, as they become available, both before and after the meeting. Persons interested in the work of this advisory committee are advised to go to the Commission's Web site, www.usccr.gov, or to contact the Western Regional Office at the above email or street address.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission and FACA.

Dated October 23, 2014.

David Mussatt,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit.

[FR Doc. 2014-25824 Filed 10-29-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce ("the Department") has received requests to conduct administrative

reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with September anniversary dates. In accordance with the Department's regulations, we are initiating those administrative reviews.

DATES: Effective Date: October 30, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brenda E. Waters, Office of AD/CVD Operations, Customs Liaison Unit, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone: (202) 482-4735.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department has received timely requests, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(b), for administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with September anniversary dates.

All deadlines for the submission of various types of information, certifications, or comments or actions by the Department discussed below refer to the number of calendar days from the applicable starting time.

Notice of No Sales

If a producer or exporter named in this notice of initiation had no exports, sales, or entries during the period of review ("POR"), it must notify the Department within 60 days of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. All submissions must be filed electronically at <http://iaaccess.trade.gov> in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303.¹ Such submissions are subject to verification in accordance with section 782(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("Act"). Further, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f)(1)(i), a copy must be served on every party on the Department's service list.

Respondent Selection

In the event the Department limits the number of respondents for individual examination for administrative reviews, the Department intends to select respondents based on U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("CBP") data for U.S. imports during the POR. We intend to release the CBP data under Administrative Protective Order ("APO") to all parties having an APO within seven days of publication of this initiation notice and to make our decision regarding respondent selection

¹ See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Electronic Filing Procedures; Administrative Protective Order Procedures*, 76 FR 39263 (July 6, 2011).

within 21 days of publication of this **Federal Register** notice. The Department invites comments regarding the CBP data and respondent selection within five days of placement of the CBP data on the record of the applicable review. Rebuttal comments will be due five days after submission of initial comments.

In the event the Department decides it is necessary to limit individual examination of respondents and conduct respondent selection under section 777A(c)(2) of the Act:

In general, the Department has found that determinations concerning whether particular companies should be “collapsed” (*i.e.*, treated as a single entity for purposes of calculating antidumping duty rates) require a substantial amount of detailed information and analysis, which often require follow-up questions and analysis. Accordingly, the Department will not conduct collapsing analyses at the respondent selection phase of this review and will not collapse companies at the respondent selection phase unless there has been a determination to collapse certain companies in a previous segment of this antidumping proceeding (*i.e.*, investigation, administrative review, new shipper review or changed circumstances review). For any company subject to this review, if the Department determined, or continued to treat, that company as collapsed with others, the Department will assume that such companies continue to operate in the same manner and will collapse them for respondent selection purposes. Otherwise, the Department will not collapse companies for purposes of respondent selection. Parties are requested to (a) identify which companies subject to review previously were collapsed, and (b) provide a citation to the proceeding in which they were collapsed. Further, if companies are requested to complete the Quantity and Value (“Q&V”) Questionnaire for purposes of respondent selection, in general each company must report volume and value data separately for itself. Parties should not include data for any other party, even if they believe they should be treated as a single entity with that other party. If a company was collapsed with another company or companies in the most recently completed segment of this proceeding where the Department considered collapsing that entity, complete Q&V data for that collapsed entity must be submitted.

Deadline for Withdrawal of Request for Administrative Review

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1), a party that has requested a review may withdraw that request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review. The regulation provides that the Department may extend this time if it is reasonable to do so. In order to provide parties additional certainty with respect to when the Department will exercise its discretion to extend this 90-day deadline, interested parties are advised that the Department does not intend to extend the 90-day deadline unless the requestor demonstrates that an extraordinary circumstance has prevented it from submitting a timely withdrawal request. Determinations by the Department to extend the 90-day deadline will be made on a case-by-case basis.

Separate Rates

In proceedings involving non-market economy (“NME”) countries, the Department begins with a rebuttable presumption that all companies within the country are subject to government control and, thus, should be assigned a single antidumping duty deposit rate. It is the Department’s policy to assign all exporters of merchandise subject to an administrative review in an NME country this single rate unless an exporter can demonstrate that it is sufficiently independent so as to be entitled to a separate rate.

To establish whether a firm is sufficiently independent from government control of its export activities to be entitled to a separate rate, the Department analyzes each entity exporting the subject merchandise under a test arising from the *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Sparklers From the People’s Republic of China*, 56 FR 20588 (May 6, 1991), as amplified by *Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Silicon Carbide From the People’s Republic of China*, 59 FR 22585 (May 2, 1994). In accordance with the separate rates criteria, the Department assigns separate rates to companies in NME cases only if respondents can demonstrate the absence of both *de jure* and *de facto* government control over export activities.

All firms listed below that wish to qualify for separate rate status in the administrative reviews involving NME countries must complete, as appropriate, either a separate rate application or certification, as described below. For these administrative reviews, in order to demonstrate separate rate

eligibility, the Department requires entities for whom a review was requested, that were assigned a separate rate in the most recent segment of this proceeding in which they participated, to certify that they continue to meet the criteria for obtaining a separate rate. The Separate Rate Certification form will be available on the Department’s Web site at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/nme/nme-sep-rate.html> on the date of publication of this **Federal Register** notice. In responding to the certification, please follow the “Instructions for Filing the Certification” in the Separate Rate Certification. Separate Rate Certifications are due to the Department no later than 60 calendar days after publication of this **Federal Register** notice. The deadline and requirement for submitting a Certification applies equally to NME-owned firms, wholly foreign-owned firms, and foreign sellers who purchase and export subject merchandise to the United States.

Entities that currently do not have a separate rate from a completed segment of the proceeding² should timely file a Separate Rate Application to demonstrate eligibility for a separate rate in this proceeding. In addition, companies that received a separate rate in a completed segment of the proceeding that have subsequently made changes, including, but not limited to, changes to corporate structure, acquisitions of new companies or facilities, or changes to their official company name,³ should timely file a Separate Rate Application to demonstrate eligibility for a separate rate in this proceeding. The Separate Rate Status Application will be available on the Department’s Web site at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/nme/nme-sep-rate.html> on the date of publication of this **Federal Register** notice. In responding to the Separate Rate Status Application, refer to the instructions contained in the application. Separate Rate Status Applications are due to the Department no later than 60 calendar days of publication of this **Federal Register** notice. The deadline and requirement

² Such entities include entities that have not participated in the proceeding, entities that were preliminarily granted a separate rate in any currently incomplete segment of the proceeding (*e.g.*, an ongoing administrative review, new shipper review, *etc.*) and entities that lost their separate rate in the most recently completed segment of the proceeding in which they participated.

³ Only changes to the official company name, rather than trade names, need to be addressed via a Separate Rate Application. Information regarding new trade names may be submitted via a Separate Rate Certification.

for submitting a Separate Rate Status Application applies equally to NME-owned firms, wholly foreign-owned firms, and foreign sellers that purchase and export subject merchandise to the United States.

For exporters and producers who submit a separate-rate status application

or certification and subsequently are selected as mandatory respondents, these exporters and producers will no longer be eligible for separate rate status unless they respond to all parts of the questionnaire as mandatory respondents.

Initiation of Reviews

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we are initiating administrative reviews of the following antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings. We intend to issue the final results of these reviews not later than September 30, 2015.

	Period to be reviewed	
Antidumping Duty Proceedings		
India: Certain Lined Paper Products, A-533-843	9/1/13-8/31/14	
Kokuyo Riddhi Paper Products Private Limited		
Marisa International		
Navneet Education Ltd. (aka Navneet Publications (India) Ltd.)		
Pioneer Stationery Private Limited		
Riddhi Enterprises		
SAB International		
Super Impex (AKA M/S Super Impex)		
Mexico: Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks, A-201-837	9/1/13-8/31/14	
Ferro Alliages & Mineraux Inc.		
RHI-Refmex S.A. De C.V.		
Trafinsa S.A. de C.V.		
Vesuvius Mexico S.A. de C.V.		
Taiwan: Narrow Woven Ribbons with Woven Selvedge, A-583-844	9/1/13-8/31/14	
A-Madeus Textile Ltd.		
Cheng Hsing Ribbon Factory		
Fujian Rongshu Industry Co., Ltd.		
Guangzhou Complacent Weaving Co., Ltd.		
Hen Hao Trading Co. Ltd. a.k.a. Taiwan Tulip Ribbons and Braids Co. Ltd.		
King Young Enterprises Co., Ltd.		
Roung Shu Industry Corporation		
Xiamen Especial Industrial Co., Ltd.		
Xiamen Yi He Textile Co., Ltd.		
Thailand: Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags, ⁴ A-549-821	8/1/13-7/31/14	
2PK Interplas Co., Ltd.		
The People's Republic of China: Certain Lined Paper Products, A-570-901	9/1/13-8/31/14	
Shanghai Lian Li Paper Products Co., Ltd.		
Certain Kitchen Appliance Shelving and Racks, A-570-941		9/1/13-8/31/14
Berlin Refrigeration Technology, Ltd.		
Changshu Jiamei Metal Products Company, Ltd.		
(aka Jiamei Metal Products Company)		
Dongguan Quanxin Products Company, Ltd.		
(aka Quanxin Products Co., Ltd.)		
GAIT International, Ltd.		
JNT Partners Ltd.		
Placetech Company, Ltd.		
Shenzhen Forward Industrial Company, Ltd.		
Tianjin Shuguang Metal Products Company		
(aka Shugang Metal Products Company)		
Wuxi Siling Furniture Company, Ltd. (aka Siling Furniture Company, Ltd.)		
Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks, A-570-954	9/1/13-8/31/14	
Fedmet Resources Corporation		
Fengchi Imp. And Exp. Co., Ltd. of Haicheng City		
Fengchi Minging Co., Ltd of Haicheng City and Fengchi Refractories Corp.		
Puyang Refractories Co., Ltd.		
Certain New Pneumatic Off-The-Road Tires, A-570-912	9/1/13-8/31/14	
Double Coin Holdings Ltd.		
Guizhou Tyre Co., Ltd.		
Guizhou Tyre Import and Export Co., Ltd.		
Qingdao Free Trade Zone Full-World International Trading Co., Ltd.		
Qingdao Haojia (Xinhai) Tyre Co.		
Qingdao Qihang Tyre Co.		
Tianjin Leviathan International Trade Co., Ltd.		
Trelleborg Wheel Systems (Xiangtai) China, Co. Ltd.		
Trelleborg Wheel Systems Hebei Co.		
Weihai Zhongwei Rubber Co., Ltd.		
Xuzhou Xugong Tyres Co. Ltd.		
Zhongce Rubber Group Company Limited		
Freshwaters Crawfish Tailmeat, A-570-848	9/1/13-8/31/14	

⁴ The company name listed above was misspelled in the initiation notice that published on September

30, 2014 (79 FR 58729). The correct spelling of the company is listed in this notice.

	Period to be reviewed
China Kingdom (Beijing) Import & Export Co., Ltd. Deyan Aquatic Products and Food Co., Ltd. Shanghai Ocean Flavor International Trading Co., Ltd. Xiping Opeck Food Co., Ltd. Narrow Woven Ribbons with Woven Selvedge, A-570-952 Yama Ribbons and Bows Co., Ltd.	9/1/13-8/31/14
Countervailing Duty Proceedings	
India: Certain Lined Paper Products, C-533-844	1/1/13-12/31/13
Navneet Education Ltd. (aka Navneet Publications (India) Ltd.) Certain New Pneumatic Off-The-Road Tires, C-570-913	1/1/13-12/31/13
Guizhou Tyre Co., Ltd. Guizhou Tyre Import and Export Co., Ltd. Certain Magnesia Carbon Bricks, C-570-955	1/1/13-12/31/13
Fedmet Resources Corporation Fengchi Imp. And Exp. Co., Ltd. of Haicheng City Fengchi Minging Co., Ltd of Haicheng City and Fengchi Refractories Corp. Puyang Refractories Co., Ltd.	

Suspension Agreements

None.

Duty Absorption Reviews

During any administrative review covering all or part of a period falling between the first and second or third and fourth anniversary of the publication of an antidumping duty order under 19 CFR 351.211 or a determination under 19 CFR 351.218(f)(4) to continue an order or suspended investigation (after sunset review), the Secretary, if requested by a domestic interested party within 30 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the review, will determine, consistent with *FAG Italia v. United States*, 291 F.3d 806 (Fed Cir. 2002), as appropriate, whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to the review if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer that is affiliated with such exporter or producer. The request must include the name(s) of the exporter or producer for which the inquiry is requested.

Gap Period Liquidation

For the first administrative review of any order, there will be no assessment of antidumping or countervailing duties on entries of subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the relevant provisional-measures “gap” period, of the order, if such a gap period is applicable to the POR.

Administrative Protective Orders and Letters of Appearance

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. On January 22, 2008, the Department

published *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Documents Submission Procedures; APO Procedures*, 73 FR 3634 (January 22, 2008). Those procedures apply to administrative reviews included in this notice of initiation. Parties wishing to participate in any of these administrative reviews should ensure that they meet the requirements of these procedures (e.g., the filing of separate letters of appearance as discussed at 19 CFR 351.103(d)).

Revised Factual Information Requirements

On April 10, 2013, the Department published *Definition of Factual Information and Time Limits for Submission of Factual Information: Final Rule*, 78 FR 21246 (April 10, 2013), which modified two regulations related to antidumping and countervailing duty proceedings: The definition of factual information (19 CFR 351.102(b)(21)), and the time limits for the submission of factual information (19 CFR 351.301). The final rule identifies five categories of factual information in 19 CFR 351.102(b)(21), which are summarized as follows: (i) Evidence submitted in response to questionnaires; (ii) evidence submitted in support of allegations; (iii) publicly available information to value factors under 19 CFR 351.408(c) or to measure the adequacy of remuneration under 19 CFR 351.511(a)(2); (iv) evidence placed on the record by the Department; and (v) evidence other than factual information described in (i)–(iv). The final rule requires any party, when submitting factual information, to specify under which subsection of 19 CFR 351.102(b)(21) the information is being submitted and, if the information is submitted to rebut, clarify, or correct

factual information already on the record, to provide an explanation identifying the information already on the record that the factual information seeks to rebut, clarify, or correct. The final rule also modified 19 CFR 351.301 so that, rather than providing general time limits, there are specific time limits based on the type of factual information being submitted. These modifications are effective for all segments initiated on or after May 10, 2013. Please review the final rule, available at <http://enforcement.trade.gov/frn/2013/1304frn/2013-08227.txt>, prior to submitting factual information in this segment.

Any party submitting factual information in an antidumping duty or countervailing duty proceeding must certify to the accuracy and completeness of that information.⁵ Parties are hereby reminded that revised certification requirements are in effect for company/government officials as well as their representatives. Ongoing segments of any antidumping duty or countervailing duty proceedings initiated on or after March 14, 2011 should use the formats for the revised certifications provided at the end of the *Interim Final Rule*.⁶ All segments of any antidumping duty or countervailing duty proceedings initiated on or after August 16, 2013, should use the formats for the revised certifications provided at the end of the

⁵ See section 782(b) of the Act.

⁶ See *Certification of Factual Information to Import Administration During Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Interim Final Rule*, 76 FR 7491 (February 10, 2011) (“*Interim Final Rule*”), amending 19 CFR 351.303(g)(1) and (2); *Certification of Factual Information to Import Administration during Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Supplemental Interim Final Rule*, 76 FR 54697 (September 2, 2011).

Final Rule.⁷ The Department intends to reject factual submissions in any proceeding segments if the submitting party does not comply with applicable revised certification requirements.

Revised Extension of Time Limits Regulation

On September 20, 2013, the Department modified its regulation concerning the extension of time limits for submissions in antidumping and countervailing duty proceedings: *Final Rule*, 78 FR 57790 (September 20, 2013). The modification clarifies that parties may request an extension of time limits before a time limit established under Part 351 expires, or as otherwise specified by the Secretary. In general, an extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after the time limit established under Part 351 expires. For submissions which are due from multiple parties simultaneously, an extension request will be considered untimely if it is filed after 10:00 a.m. on the due date. Examples include, but are not limited to: (1) Case and rebuttal briefs, filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309; (2) factual information to value factors under 19 CFR 351.408(c), or to measure the adequacy of remuneration under 19 CFR 351.511(a)(2), filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3) and rebuttal, clarification and correction filed pursuant to 19 CFR 351.301(c)(3)(iv); (3) comments concerning the selection of a surrogate country and surrogate values and rebuttal; (4) comments concerning U.S. Customs and Border Protection data; and (5) quantity and value questionnaires. Under certain circumstances, the Department may elect to specify a different time limit by which extension requests will be considered untimely for submissions which are due from multiple parties simultaneously. In such a case, the Department will inform parties in the letter or memorandum setting forth the deadline (including a specified time) by which extension requests must be filed to be considered timely. This modification also requires that an extension request must be made in a separate, stand-alone submission, and clarifies the circumstances under which the Department will grant untimely-filed requests for the extension of time limits. These modifications are effective for all segments initiated on or after October 21, 2013. Please review the

⁷ See *Certification of Factual Information To Import Administration During Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings*, 78 FR 42678 (July 17, 2013) (“*Final Rule*”); see also the frequently asked questions regarding the *Final Rule*, available at http://enforcement.trade.gov/tlei/notices/factual_info_final_rule_FAQ_07172013.pdf.

final rule, available at <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2013-09-20/html/2013-22853.htm>, prior to submitting factual information in these segments.

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act (19 USC 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: October 23, 2014.

Christian Marsh,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Operations.

[FR Doc. 2014–25865 Filed 10–29–14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–570–890]

Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People’s Republic of China: Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review, and Revocation of Antidumping Duty Order, in Part

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 18, 2014, the Department of Commerce (the “Department”) published its *Preliminary Results* of a changed circumstances review and intent to revoke, in part, the antidumping duty (“AD”) order on wooden bedroom furniture from the People’s Republic of China (“PRC”) ¹ with respect to certain wall bed units.² The Department preliminarily determined that the producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product to which the *Order* pertains lack interest in the relief provided by the *Order* with respect to certain wall bed units. We invited interested parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. As no parties submitted comments, the Department is making no changes to the *Preliminary Results*.

DATES: *Effective Date:* October 30, 2014.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Erin Kearney or Howard Smith, AD/CVD Operations, Office IV, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution

¹ See *Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Antidumping Duty Order: Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People’s Republic of China*, 70 FR 329 (January 4, 2005) (“*Order*”).

² See *Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People’s Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Review, and Intent To Revoke Antidumping Duty Order in Part*, 79 FR 48727 (August 18, 2014) (“*Preliminary Results*”).

Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0167 or (202) 482–5193, respectively.

Background

On January 4, 2005, the Department published the *Order* in the **Federal Register**. On March 12, 2014, the Department received a request on behalf of Techcraft Manufacturing, Inc. (“Techcraft”) for a changed circumstances review to revoke, in part, the *Order* with respect to certain wall bed units.³ On May 2, 2014, the Department published the *Initiation Notice* for this changed circumstances review in the **Federal Register**.⁴ On August 18, 2014, the Department made a preliminary determination that producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product lack interest in the relief afforded by the *Order* with respect to the certain wall bed units described in Techcraft’s Request.⁵ We invited interested parties to submit comments in accordance with 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii). We received no comments.

Final Results of Changed Circumstances Review, and Revocation of the Order, in Part

Because no party submitted comments opposing the Department’s *Preliminary Results*, and the record contains no other information or evidence that calls into question the *Preliminary Results*, the Department determines that there are changed circumstances that warrant revocation of the *Order*, in part. Specifically, because the producers accounting for substantially all of the production of the domestic like product to which the *Order* pertains lack interest in the relief provided by the *Order* with respect to certain wall bed units, we are revoking the *Order*, in part, with respect to certain wall bed units by including the following language in the scope of the *Order*:

Also excluded from the scope are certain enclosable wall bed units, also referred to as murphy beds, which are composed of the following three major sections: (1) A metal wall frame, which attaches to the wall and uses coils or pistons to support the metal mattress frame; (2) a metal frame, which has

³ See Submission from Techcraft, “Techcraft Manufacturing Inc. Request for a Changed Circumstance Review,” dated March 12, 2014 (“Techcraft’s Request”).

⁴ See *Wooden Bedroom Furniture From the People’s Republic of China: Notice of Initiation of Changed Circumstances Review, and Consideration of Revocation of the Antidumping Duty Order in Part*, 79 FR 25110 (May 2, 2014) (“*Initiation Notice*”).

⁵ See *Preliminary Results*.